

SECTION 1: CIA SUMMARY
Community Impact Assessment: Summary
1. Name of service, policy, function or criteria being assessed:

Future use of Burnholme College site

2. What are the main objectives or aims of the service/policy/function/criteria?

Options for re-use or disposal of this site following closure of the school in Summer 2014.

Options include 1/ Disposal of the site for housing development and 2/ Re-use of some existing buildings and new build to provide a Community health and well-being Hub including community space, health facilities and sports provision and also disposal of part of the site for housing development

3. Name and Job Title of person completing assessment:

Philip Callow, Head of Asset and Property Management

4. Have any impacts been Identified? (Yes/No)

Yes

Community of Identity affected:

all

Summary of impact:

Having a range of community and health services in one location will enable easy access to these services rather than visiting a number of locations. The project is at an early stage of development. The next stage, following the overwhelming positive feedback from the consultation event held, is to appoint a development partner to look at the detailed design taking into account community needs.

5. Date CIA completed:
6. Signed off by:
7. I am satisfied that this service/policy/function has been successfully impact assessed.

Name:

Position:

Date:

8. Decision-making body: Cabinet	Date: 1st July 2014	Decision Details:
Send the completed signed off document to equalities@york.gov.uk. It will be published on the intranet, as well as on the council website. Actions arising from the Assessments will be logged on Verto and progress updates will be required		

Community Impact Assessment (CIA)

Community Impact Assessment Title:

Providing a health and Well-being Hub on the Burnholme Centre site

What evidence is available **to suggest that the proposed service, policy, function or criteria could have a negative (N), positive (P) or no (None) effect** on quality of life outcomes? (Refer to guidance for further details)

Can negative impacts be justified? **For example: improving community cohesion; complying with other legislation or enforcement duties; taking positive action to address imbalances or under-representation; needing to target a particular community or group e.g. older people.** NB. Lack of financial resources alone is NOT justification!

Community of Identity: Age

Evidence	Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
<p>People of all ages will be able to access community facilities such as nursery, out of school club, library, GP and healthcare facilities, churches and sports facilities in one location.</p> <p>Community consultation event carried out on 15th March 2014 showed overwhelming support (95%) for this proposal</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Access to services and employment • Health • Education • Identity, expression and self-respect • Productive and valued activities 	P	None

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Individual, family and social life 		
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date
The range of facilities and services which could be offered on one site will improve the access to them by all ages instead of having to visit several locations in the area	n/a	n/a	Philip Callow	30 th May 2014

Community of Identity: Carers of Older or Disabled People

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Refer to details given above				
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date

Community of Identity: Disability

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Refer to details given above				
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date

Community of Identity: Gender

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Refer to details given above				
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date

Community of Identity: Gender Reassignment

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Refer to details given above				
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date

Community of Identity: Marriage & Civil Partnership

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Refer to details given above				
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date

Community of Identity: Pregnancy / Maternity

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Refer to details given above				
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date

Community of Identity: Race

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Refer to details given above				
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date

Community of Identity: Religion / Spirituality / Belief

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Refer to details given above				
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date

Community of Identity: Sexual Orientation

Evidence		Quality of Life Indicators	Customer Impact (N/P/None)	Staff Impact (N/P/None)
Refer to details given above				
Details of Impact	<i>Can negative impacts be justified?</i>	Reason/Action	Lead Officer	Completion Date