



Health & Adult Social Care (DRAFT) 2019/2020

No of Indicators = 62 | Direction of Travel (DoT) shows the trend of how an indicator is performing against its Polarity over time.
Produced by the Business Intelligence Hub September 2019

			Previous Years				2019/2020				Target	Polarity	DOT	
		Collection Frequency	2015/2016	2016/2017	2017/2018	2018/2019	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4				
Adult Social Care	PVP02	Number of permanent admissions to residential & nursing care homes for older people (65+)	Monthly	260	248	246	252	54	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	◀▶ Neutral
	PVP18	Number of customers in long-term residential and nursing care at the period end - (Snapshot)	Monthly	632	623	575	621	642	-	-	-	-	Neutral	◀▶ Neutral
	PVP19	Number of permanent admissions to residential & nursing care homes for younger people (18-64)	Monthly	22	16	22	21	7	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	◀▶ Neutral
ASCOF1E		Proportion of adults with a learning disability in paid employment	Monthly	9.70%	8.33%	8.30%	-	8.59%	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	◀▶ Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	5.80%	5.70%	6.00%	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	6.30%	6.68%	7.40%	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		National Rank (Rank out of 152)	Annual	30	40	46	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	4	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Comparator Rank (Rank out of 16)	Annual	4	7	7	-	-	-	-	-	-		

ANNEX 1

			Previous Years				2019/2020						
		Collection Frequency	2015/2016	2016/2017	2017/2018	2018/2019	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Target	Polarity	DOT
ASCOF1G	Proportion of adults with a learning disability who live in their own home or with family	Monthly	82.60%	82.26%	82.00%	-	73.84%	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	◀▶ Neutral
	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	75.40%	76.21%	77.20%	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	78.60%	79.40%	80.90%	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	National Rank (Rank out of 152)	Annual	48	50	54	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	7	7	9	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Comparator Rank (Rank out of 16)	Annual	6	9	9	-	-	-	-	-	-		
ASCOF1H	Proportion of adults in contact with secondary mental health services living independently, with or without support	Monthly	28.50%	39.21%	69.00%	84.00% (Prov)	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	▲ Green
	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	58.60%	-	57.00%	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	64.70%	-	69.00%	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	National Rank (Rank out of 152)	Annual	144	-	59	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	15	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Comparator Rank (Rank out of 16)	Annual	15	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-		

			Previous Years				2019/2020						
		Collection Frequency	2015/2016	2016/2017	2017/2018	2018/2019	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Target	Polarity	DOT
Adult Social Care C	ASCOF111	Proportion of people who use services who reported that they had as much social contact as they would like	Annual	45.80%	49.50%	44.50%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good ◀▶ Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	45.40%	45.40%	46.00%	-	-	-	-	-	-	
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	46.00%	45.60%	47.50%	-	-	-	-	-	-	
		National Rank (Rank out of 152)	Annual	70	28	94	-	-	-	-	-	-	
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	9	6	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	
		Comparator Rank (Rank out of 16)	Annual	10	2	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	ASCOF2A 1	Long-term support needs met by admission to residential and nursing care homes, per 100,000 population (younger adults) (New definition from 2015/16) - (YTD Cumulative)	Monthly	11.3	11.18	15.7	-	5.22	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad ▲ Red
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	13.3	12.81	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	13.9	13.76	14.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	
		National Rank (Rank out of 152)	Annual	64	68	102	-	-	-	-	-	-	
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	7	6	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	
		Comparator Rank (Rank out of 16)	Annual	5	8	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	

		Previous Years					2019/2020							
		Collection Frequency	2015/2016	2016/2017	2017/2018	2018/2019	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Target	Polarity	DOT	
Outcomes Framework	ASCOF2A 2	Long-term support needs met by admission to residential and nursing care homes, per 100,000 population (older people) (New definition from 2015/16) - (YTD Cumulative)	Monthly	683.1	647.8	649.4	-	143.96	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	◀▶ Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	628.2	610.7	585.6	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	699.5	658.4	632.6	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		National Rank (Rank out of 152)	Annual	92	87	95	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	7	7	9	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Comparator Rank (Rank out of 16)	Annual	13	9	8	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	ASCOF2C 1	Delayed transfers of care from hospital, per 100,000 population (New definition from 2017/18) - (YTD Average)	Monthly	13.2	16.85	13.5	17.5 (Prov)	20.3	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	▲ Red
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	12.1	14.9	12.3	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	10.2	11.1	10.9	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		National Rank (Rank out of 152)	Annual	103	111	109	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	12	14	12	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Comparator Rank (Rank out of 16)		Annual	8	10	13	-	-	-	-	-	-			

ANNEX 1

			Previous Years				2019/2020						
		Collection Frequency	2015/2016	2016/2017	2017/2018	2018/2019	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Target	Polarity	DOT
ASCOF2C 2	Delayed transfers of care from hospital which are attributable to adult social care, per 100,000 population (New definition from 2017/18) - (YTD Average)	Monthly	6.9	7.49	6.4	6.6 (Prov)	5.9	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	◀▶ Neutral
	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	4.7	6.3	4.3	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	3.4	4.8	3.4	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	National Rank (Rank out of 152)	Annual	123	111	130	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	14	13	14	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Comparator Rank (Rank out of 16)	Annual	12	12	14	-	-	-	-	-	-		
ASCOF3A	Overall satisfaction of people who use services with their care and support	Annual	64.00%	62.40%	62.90%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	◀▶ Neutral
	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	64.40%	64.70%	65.00%	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	63.80%	64.60%	65.00%	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	National Rank (Rank out of 152)	Annual	82	98	91	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	10	11	10	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Comparator Rank (Rank out of 16)	Annual	13	13	11	-	-	-	-	-	-		
ASCOF4A	Proportion of people who use services who feel safe	Annual	66.90%	71.00%	70.30%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	◀▶ Neutral
	Benchmark - National Data	Annual	69.20%	70.10%	69.90%	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	69.90%	69.10%	69.60%	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	National Rank (Rank out of 152)	Annual	101	63	80	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	13	8	9	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	Comparator Rank (Rank out of 16)	Annual	13	8	9	-	-	-	-	-	-		

		Previous Years				2019/2020								
		Collection Frequency	2015/2016	2016/2017	2017/2018	2018/2019	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Target	Polarity	DOT	
Alcohol	LAPE03	Alcohol-specific mortality: Males, all ages (per 100,000 population)	Annual	13.28	11.59	16.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	◄► Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	14.57	14.27	14.5	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	15.8	15.69	16.7	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	3	3	6	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	LAPE04	Alcohol-specific mortality: Females, all ages (per 100,000 population)	Annual	6.41	5.62	4.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	▼ Green
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	6.75	6.84	7	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	11.11	7.51	8.2	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	4	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	LAPE17	Admitted to hospital episodes with alcohol-related conditions (Narrow): Persons, all ages (per 100,000 population)	Annual	661	691	724	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	▲ Red
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	646.63	636.4	632	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	701.19	700.56	697	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	5	8	10	-	-	-	-	-	-		
LAPE22	% of alcohol users in treatment who successfully completed drug treatment (without representation within 6 months)	Quarterly	40.00%	38.19%	33.50%	31.07%	32.36%	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	◄► Neutral	
	Benchmark - National Data	Quarterly	39.17%	38.29%	38.60%	37.85%	37.76%	-	-	-	-			
Employment	PHOF40	Gap in employment rate for mental health clients and the overall employment rate	Annual	69.30%	68.50%	63.90%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	◄► Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	67.20%	67.40%	68.20%	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	64.00%	63.80%	64.50%	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	15	14	6	-	-	-	-	-	-		

ANNEX 1

			Previous Years				2019/2020							
		Collection Frequency	2015/2016	2016/2017	2017/2018	2018/2019	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Target	Polarity	DOT	
Life Expectancy	PHOF16	Life Expectancy at birth - Female	Annual	83.4	83.5	83.5	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	◄► Neutral	
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	83.1	83.1	83.1	-	-	-	-	-			
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	82.3	82.4	82.4	-	-	-	-	-			
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	2	3	3	-	-	-	-	-			
	PHOF17	Slope index of inequality in life expectancy at birth - Female - (Three year period)	Annual	4.3	4.2	5.2	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	◄► Neutral	
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	2	3	3	-	-	-	-	-			
	PHOF36	Life Expectancy at birth - Male	Annual	80.2	80.4	80.2	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	◄► Neutral	
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	79.5	79.5	79.6	-	-	-	-	-			
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	78.6	78.7	78.7	-	-	-	-	-			
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	3	2	2	-	-	-	-	-			
	PHOF37	Slope index of inequality in life expectancy at birth - Male - (Three year period)	Annual	7.2	7.7	8.9	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	▲ Red	
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	3	3	2	-	-	-	-	-			
	CMHD02	IAPT Referrals (18+), per 100,000 population - (VoY CCG)	Quarterly	468.52	538	454	482	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	◄► Neutral
			Benchmark - National Data	Quarterly	860.6	869	871	1,010	-	-	-	-	-	
Benchmark - Regional Data			Quarterly	897.15	872	890	990	-	-	-	-	-		
% of people who have completed IAPT treatment who achieved "reliable improvement" - (VoY CCG)		Quarterly	63.64%	67.80%	65.10%	70.10%	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	◄► Neutral	
		Benchmark - National Data	Quarterly	63.70%	66.30%	71.60%	72.50%	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Quarterly	63.11%	68.20%	71.50%	73.70%	-	-	-	-	-		

ANNEX 1

			Previous Years				2019/2020							
		Collection Frequency	2015/2016	2016/2017	2017/2018	2018/2019	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Target	Polarity	DOT	
Mental Health	CMHD05	People entering IAPT (in month) as % of those estimated to have anxiety/depression (VoY CCG) - (Snapshot)	Monthly	-	7.50%	15.50%	14.40%	-	-	-	-	-	Neutral	◀▶ Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Monthly	-	17.20%	17.20%	19.10%	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Monthly	-	16.30%	15.70%	18.40%	-	-	-	-	-		
	PHE11	Estimated dementia diagnosis rate (%) for people aged 65+ as recorded on practice disease registers	Annual	-	N/A	60.40%	62.20%	-	60.50%	-	-	-	Up is Good	◀▶ Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	-	N/A	67.90%	67.50%	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	-	N/A	71.30%	71.20%	-	-	-	-	-		
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	-	N/A	15	15	-	-	-	-	-		
	PHOF32	Suicide rate (per 100,000 population)	Annual	14	12.7	13.4	11.9	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	◀▶ Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	10.1	9.9	9.6	9.6	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	10.7	10.4	10.4	10.7	-	-	-	-	-		
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	14	13	13	11	-	-	-	-	-		
	POPP101	Total population aged 65 and over predicted to have dementia	Annual	2,717	2,788	2,788	2,779	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	◀▶ Neutral
	CHP02	Child mortality rate (1-17 years), per 100,000 population	Annual	9.32	12.3	12.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	◀▶ Neutral
Benchmark - National Data		Annual	11.87	11.6	11.2	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Benchmark - Regional Data		Annual	13.71	13.2	12.4	-	-	-	-	-	-			
Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)		Annual	2	8	8	-	-	-	-	-	-			

ANNEX 1

			Previous Years				2019/2020						
		Collection Frequency	2015/2016	2016/2017	2017/2018	2018/2019	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Target	Polarity	DOT
Mortality	PHOF33	Excess Winter Deaths Index (all ages single year)	Annual	26.18	31	31	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	15.1	21.6	21.6	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	16.09	24.9	24.9	-	-	-	-	-		
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	14	12	12	-	-	-	-	-		
	PHOF46	Mortality rate from causes considered preventable (per 100,000 population)	Annual	169.27	162.85	168.9	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	184.46	182.84	181.5	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	200.18	197.21	197.2	-	-	-	-	-		
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	2	2	3	-	-	-	-	-		
Obesity	NCMP01	% of reception year children recorded as being obese (single year)	Annual	8.59%	8.52%	9.28%	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	9.31%	9.61%	9.53%	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	9.42%	9.72%	9.94%	-	-	-	-	-		
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	2	4	4	-	-	-	-	-		
	NCMP02	% of children in Year 6 recorded as being obese (single year)	Annual	15.14%	16.13%	17.41%	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	Red
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	19.82%	19.98%	20.14%	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	20.29%	20.42%	20.63%	-	-	-	-	-		
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	1	1	4	-	-	-	-	-		

			Previous Years				2019/2020							
		Collection Frequency	2015/2016	2016/2017	2017/2018	2018/2019	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Target	Polarity	DOT	
	PHOF44a	% of adults (aged 18+) classified as overweight or obese (new definition)	Annual	59.40%	60.44%	54.40%	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	◀▶ Neutral	
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	61.30%	61.29%	62.00%	-	-	-	-	-			
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	64.20%	65.27%	64.10%	-	-	-	-	-			
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	1	2	1	-	-	-	-	-			
Physical Activity	PHOF01a	% of adults (aged 19+) that meet CMO recommendations for physical activity (150+ moderate intensity equivalent minutes per week)	Annual	66.71%	72.03%	76.40%	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	▲ Green	
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	66.13%	66.00%	66.30%	-	-	-	-	-			
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	64.22%	64.60%	64.00%	-	-	-	-	-			
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	5	1	1	-	-	-	-	-			
	PHOF02a	% of adults (aged 19+) that are physically inactive (<30 moderate intensity equivalent minutes per week)	Annual	21.08%	18.28%	13.80%	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	◀▶ Neutral	
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	22.33%	22.24%	22.20%	-	-	-	-	-			
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	24.66%	24.08%	24.10%	-	-	-	-	-			
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-			
	Preg and 1 ann	YH13	% of mothers smoking at time of delivery - (Rolling 12 Month)	Quarterly	12.05%	11.26%	NC	11.98%	11.26%	-	-	-	Up is Bad	◀▶ Neutral
			CORP10L	Large Project - Adult Social Care Future Focus	Quarterly	-	-	Green	Green	Green	-	-	-	Neutral
EH1	EH1	Chlamydia diagnoses (15-24 year olds), per 100,000 population	Annual	1,456.81	1,864.3	1,985.3	1,712	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	◀▶ Neutral	
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	1,913.59	1,916.9	1,881.9	1,975	-	-	-	-			
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	2,046.89	2,132.3	2,244.3	2,096	-	-	-	-			
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	14	11	11	11	-	-	-	-			

ANNEX 1

			Previous Years				2019/2020							
		Collection Frequency	2015/2016	2016/2017	2017/2018	2018/2019	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Target	Polarity	DOT	
Public Health and Well	EH2	Proportion of population aged 15 to 24 screened for chlamydia	Annual	22.30%	22.50%	26.40%	23.90%	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	◀▶ Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	22.50%	20.70%	19.30%	19.60%	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	21.20%	19.50%	20.20%	20.00%	-	-	-	-	-		
	HV01	% of births that receive a face to face New Birth Visit (NBV) by a Health Visitor within 14 days	Quarterly	74.40%	78.30%	85.61%	85.90%	86.33% (prov)	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	◀▶ Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Quarterly	87.80%	88.30%	88.50%	87.50%	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Quarterly	86.80%	86.20%	84.00%	84.70%	-	-	-	-	-		
	HV02	% of face-to-face NBVs undertaken by a health visitor after 14 days	Quarterly	21.70%	12.77%	9.91%	11.70%	12.23% (prov)	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	▲ Red
		Benchmark - National Data	Quarterly	9.50%	9.90%	9.70%	10.70%	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Quarterly	10.80%	11.60%	-	13.00%	-	-	-	-	-		
	HV03	% of infants who received a 6-8 week review by the time they were 8 weeks	Quarterly	70.80%	77.09%	82.46%	89.20%	88.55% (prov)	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	◀▶ Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Quarterly	82.70%	83.60%	84.30%	85.90%	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Quarterly	86.40%	87.10%	84.40%	87.30%	-	-	-	-	-		
	HV05	% of children who received a 12 month review by the time they turned 12 months	Quarterly	16.77%	41.65%	72.21%	81.80%	83.15% (prov)	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	◀▶ Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Quarterly	73.60%	75.90%	77.60%	77.50%	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Quarterly	82.50%	82.70%	85.50%	86.80%	-	-	-	-	-		
	HV06	% of children who received a 12 month review by the time they turned 15 months	Quarterly	70.00%	76.92%	81.52%	84.70%	86.49% (prov)	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	▲ Green
		Benchmark - National Data	Quarterly	82.50%	82.70%	82.10%	84.40%	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Quarterly	88.50%	86.70%	-	90.40%	-	-	-	-	-		

ANNEX 1

		Collection Frequency	Previous Years				2019/2020				Target	Polarity	DOT	
			2015/2016	2016/2017	2017/2018	2018/2019	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4				
Bujec	HV07	% of children who received a 2-2½ year review	Quarterly	11.60%	18.55%	62.64%	71.20%	72.61% (prov)	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	◀▶ Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Quarterly	74.70%	77.40%	76.40%	78.00%	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Quarterly	81.30%	80.70%	78.60%	83.30%	-	-	-	-	-		
	HV10	% of infants totally or partially breastfed at 6-8 weeks (of those with a known feeding status)	Quarterly	52.20%	59.40%	54.73%	59.40%	58.04% (prov)	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	◀▶ Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Quarterly	49.70%	50.00%	49.80%	52.90%	-	-	-	-	-		
	HV12	% of children who were at or above the expected level of development at the 2-2½ year review	Quarterly	-	-	-	90.55%	86.90% (prov)	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	◀▶ Neutral
	PHOF31	% of eligible population aged 40-74 who received an NHS Health Check	Quarterly	9.81%	0.20%	0.50%	2.30%	0.70%	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	▼ Red
		Benchmark - National Data	Quarterly	8.99%	8.50%	8.30%	8.00%	2.00%	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	7.90%	7.40%	7.20%	6.90%	1.90%	-	-	-	-		
	PHOF79	HIV late diagnosis	Annual	57.90%	57.10%	60.90%	60.00%	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	◀▶ Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	40.30%	40.20%	41.00%	42.50%	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	46.80%	45.70%	48.20%	49.20%	-	-	-	-	-		
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	12	10	12	14	-	-	-	-	-		
	PHOF91	% of eligible population aged 40-74 offered an NHS Health Check	Quarterly	28.20%	0.80%	0.50%	25.30%	3.70%	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	▼ Red
		Benchmark - National Data	Quarterly	18.70%	16.90%	17.20%	17.60%	4.80%	-	-	-	-		
Benchmark - Regional Data		Quarterly	18.40%	14.50%	13.70%	17.70%	6.30%	-	-	-	-			

ANNEX 1

			Previous Years				2019/2020							
		Collection Frequency	2015/2016	2016/2017	2017/2018	2018/2019	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Target	Polarity	DOT	
	PHOF92	% of eligible population aged 40-74 offered an NHS Health Check who received an NHS Health Check	Quarterly	34.90%	21.40%	100.00%	9.20%	19.30%	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	▲ Green
		Benchmark - National Data	Quarterly	47.90%	49.90%	47.90%	45.90%	41.00%	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Quarterly	42.90%	51.20%	52.50%	39.90%	29.50%	-	-	-	-		
Resident and Carer	TAP09	% of panel confident they could find information on support available to help people live independently	Quarterly	NC	65.46%	64.81%	72.52%	71.52%	-	-	-	-	Up is Good	◄► Neutral
Safeguarding (Young People)	CHP32	Hospital admissions as a result of self harm (10-24 years), per 100,000 population	Annual	675.2	631	207.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	▼ Green
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	430.5	404.6	185.5	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	384.8	401.2	194.6	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	PHOF06a	Under 18 conceptions (per 1,000 females aged 15-17) (Rolling 12 Months)	Quarterly	18.8	16.8	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	◄► Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Quarterly	20.4	18.5	17.3	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Quarterly	23.5	21.3	20.4	-	-	-	-	-	-		
	PHOF27	Under 18 conceptions: conceptions in those aged under 16 (per 1,000 females aged 13-15) (Calendar Year)	Annual	1.82	5.1	2.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	▼ Green
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	3.73	3	2.7	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	4.45	4	3.3	-	-	-	-	-	-		
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	1	12	5	-	-	-	-	-	-		
PHOF10	% of women who smoke at the time of delivery - (VoY CCG)	Quarterly	12.06%	11.01%	10.40%	-	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad	▼ Green	
	Benchmark - National Data	Quarterly	10.65%	10.50%	10.80%	-	-	-	-	-	-			
	Benchmark - Regional Data	Quarterly	14.53%	14.19%	14.20%	-	-	-	-	-	-			
	Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	4	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-			

ANNEX 1

			Previous Years				2019/2020						
		Collection Frequency	2015/2016	2016/2017	2017/2018	2018/2019	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Target	Polarity	DOT
Smoking	PHOF162	Smoking prevalence in adults (18-64) - socio-economic gap in current smokers (APS) Ratio	Annual	-	3.43	5.31	1.89	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad Green
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	-	2.43	2.44	2.47	-	-	-	-	-	
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	-	2.57	2.49	2.32	-	-	-	-	-	
	PHOF20	% of population smoking (routine and manual workers) (APS)	Annual	28.20%	26.40%	24.60%	18.60%	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad Green
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	28.10%	26.50%	25.70%	25.40%	-	-	-	-	-	
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	30.00%	28.90%	28.20%	27.40%	-	-	-	-	-	
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	4	4	3	1	-	-	-	-	-	
	PHOF45	% of population smoking (APS)	Annual	14.63%	12.60%	9.00%	11.50%	-	-	-	-	-	Up is Bad Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Annual	18.63%	15.50%	14.87%	14.40%	-	-	-	-	-	
		Benchmark - Regional Data	Annual	16.93%	17.70%	16.99%	16.70%	-	-	-	-	-	
		Regional Rank (Rank out of 15)	Annual	2	2	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	
	Substance Misuse	PHOF76	% of opiate users in treatment who successfully completed drug treatment (without representation within 6 months)	Quarterly	5.50%	9.39%	6.30%	4.70%	4.57%	-	-	-	-
Benchmark - National Data			Quarterly	6.80%	6.63%	6.61%	6.00%	5.85%	-	-	-	-	
PHOF77		% of non-opiate users in treatment who successfully completed drug treatment (without representation within 6 months)	Quarterly	31.10%	38.08%	32.11%	29.20%	28.71%	-	-	-	-	Up is Good Neutral
		Benchmark - National Data	Quarterly	37.30%	37.13%	36.61%	35.20%	34.78%	-	-	-	-	