

**Decision Session - Executive Member for  
Transport and Planning**

**14 September 2017**

Report of the Corporate Director of Economy and Place

**Annual Review of Traffic Regulation Order Requests**

**Summary**

1. Approval is requested to advertise the amendments to the Traffic Regulation Order (TRO) required to introduce the restrictions detailed in Annexes A to S. In addition, if there are no objections raised with regard to the above proposals, approval is requested to implement the amendments to the Traffic Regulation Order.

**Recommendations**

2. It is recommended that the Executive Member:
  - i. Approves the recommended approach for each request as identified in Annexes A to S.
  - ii. Considers any objections to the legal advertisement of any changes to the Traffic Regulation Orders at a subsequent Decision Session.
  - iii. Approves the implementation of any amendments to the Traffic Regulation Orders if there are no objections raised in respect of the advertised changes.

Reason: To ensure that appropriate changes are made to traffic restrictions to address concerns raised by residents.

**Background**

3. All the non urgent requests for waiting restrictions or other changes to the TRO received over the past 18 months for the whole of the authority have been grouped together to be considered at the same time. This process has taken longer than originally projected owing to the number of requests and pressure on staff resources. The methodology for handling requests is to be reviewed with the aim of developing a process for progressing the simplest requests more quickly whilst still achieving the economy of scale benefits from undertaking a larger scale annual review.

4. There are 117 requests considered in this report – See Annex T for summary. The attached Annexes A to S outline the requests received on a ward by ward basis along with officers' recommended action where appropriate.

### **Consultation**

5. Subject to the recommendations in this report being approved the proposals to change the Traffic Regulation Orders will be advertised in the local press giving 3 weeks for people to make representations. In addition, notices will be put up on street and the properties adjacent to the proposals sent details as they are the most likely to be affected. Where applicable amendments will be legally advertised during October/November.
6. Any objections received to the proposals will be brought back to a subsequent Executive Member Decision Session for a decision on how to proceed. If there are no objections raised with regards to the changes, it is recommended that approval is granted to implement the amendments to the Traffic Regulation Orders.

### **Options**

7. The options available for each item are:
  - A. Approve the officers recommendation for proposals to be advertised, or not, for each location.
  - B. Defer the proposal for further information to be brought back to a subsequent Decision meeting.
  - C. Amend the proposal depending on circumstances.

### **Analysis**

8. A number of non urgent requests for changes to the TRO are received each year. Typically, these are for additional "no waiting at any time" (double yellow line) restrictions or minor changes to Residents' Priority Parking (ResPark) Schemes. These requests are considered together on an annual basis; this saves officer time and money, because any changes can all be advertised at the same time, and helps to ensure parity of treatment. In each case site visits are carried out to determine to what extent there is a traffic management or safety problem. The proposals in Annexes A to S have been circulated to ward councillor's representatives for their comments. Any comments received have been included in the Annexes.

## **Council Plan**

9. Considering this matter contributes to the Council Plan building strong communities by engaging with all members of the local community.

### **Implications**

**Financial** There are modest costs associated with the advertising and implementation of the proposals, these are estimated for each item in the Annexes. Cumulatively the cost of the proposed changes is approx. £47k which will be funded from existing transport budgets in 17/18 and 18/19.

**Human Resources (HR)** There are no HR implications

**Equalities** There are no Equalities implications

**Legal** Any proposals which are eventually implemented will become enforceable by the Council's Civil Enforcement Officers in the same way as existing waiting restrictions.

**Crime and Disorder** There are no Crime and Disorder implications

**Information Technology (IT)** There are no IT implications

**Property** There are no Property implications

**Other** There are no other implications

### **Risk Management**

10. In compliance with the Council's risk management strategy there is a low risk associated with the recommendations in this report.

## Contact Details

**Author:**

**Annemarie Howarth**  
Traffic Projects Officer,  
Traffic Management  
Tel No. 01904 551337

**Chief Officer Responsible for the report:**

**Neil Ferris**  
**Corporate Director of Economy and Place**

**Report Approved**



**Date** 6 September 2017

**Wards Affected:**

All



**For further information please contact the author of the report**

**Background Papers:** N/A

**Annexes:**

Annex A Acomb Ward

Annex B Bishopthorpe Ward

Annex C Clifton Ward

Annex D Copmanthorpe Ward

Annex E Dringhouses and Woodthorpe Ward

Annex F Fishergate Ward

Annex G Fulford and Heslington Ward

Annex H Guildhall Ward

Annex I Haxby and Wigginton Ward

Annex J Heworth Ward

Annex K Holgate Ward

Annex L Hull Road Ward

Annex M Huntington and New Earswick Ward

Annex N Micklegate Ward

Annex O Osbaldwick and Derwent Ward

Annex P Rawcliffe and Clifton Without Ward

Annex Q Rural West York Ward

Annex R Strensall Ward

Annex S Westfield Ward

Annex T Summary list of locations with recommendation and approximate costs