
**Decision Session -
Executive Member for Transport**

21 July 2020

Report of the Assistant Director of Transport, Highways & Environment

Consideration of Representations received in response to advertised amendments to the Traffic Regulation Order

Summary

1. Consideration of representations received, in support and objection, to advertised proposals to amend the Traffic Regulation Order.

Recommendations

2. It is recommended that the Executive Member considers the original proposals for each issue together with representations received and make a decision from the options given on the Ward/individual Annexes.
 - a) Implement as Advertised
 - b) Uphold the objections and take no further action
 - c) Implement a lesser restriction than advertised; for example a shorter length of restrictions
 - d) Other options relevant to the proposal and representations received

Reason: To ensure that appropriate changes are made to traffic restrictions to address concerns raised.

Background

3. Requests for waiting restrictions or other changes to the TRO for minor traffic management issues are placed on a waiting list to be considered at the same time.
4. We advertised 56 separate proposals to amend the traffic regulation Order on the 7th February 2020. 33 of the proposals did not receive any representations of objection and these are in the process of being taken through to implementation.

5. 23 of the proposals involving 15 Wards received objections and these are included in this report to the Executive Member for consideration and decision.
6. The proposals and representations received, together with officer recommendations are detailed by ward on the attached annexes.
7. Ward Councillors have received this information and been invited to comment on the issues and officer recommendations. Any comments received have been included within the Annex for that ward.

Consultation

8. The advertised proposals for amendment of the Traffic Regulation Orders were advertised in the local press and notices put up on street. Properties adjacent to the proposals were posted details as they are the most likely to be affected.
9. All emergency services, haulier associations, Parish Councils and Ward Councillors receive details on advertisement.

Options

- 10 The options available for each item are detailed on the annexes but depending on the proposal and representations received will include one or more of the following:
 - a) Implement as advertised
 - b) Uphold the objections and take no further action
 - c) Uphold the objections in part and implement a lesser restriction that advertised
 - d) Other options relevant to the proposal and representations received

Highway Regulations will only permit us to implement the restriction as advertised or a lesser restriction. We are unable to implement a more restrictive restriction through this process without re-advertising.

Analysis

- 11 Officer comments and analysis are included on the individual Annexes.

Council Plan

- 12 Considering this matter contributes to the Council Plan; building strong communities by engaging with all members of the local community.

Implications

- 13 **Financial** - There are costs associated with the advertising and implementation of any proposal. These will be met by the budget allocation within the department for “New signs and lines”

Human Resources (HR) - Any proposals which are implemented will become enforceable by the Council’s Civil Enforcement Officers in the same way as existing waiting restrictions. This will have an impact on the available resources of this department.

Equalities - There are no Equalities implications identified

Legal - The proposals require amendments to the York Parking, Stopping and Waiting Traffic Regulation Order 2014: Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 & the Local Authorities Traffic Orders (procedure) (England & Wales) Regulations 1996 apply

Crime and Disorder - There are no Crime and Disorder implications

Information Technology (IT) - There are no IT implications

Property - There are no Property implications

Other - There are no other implications identified

Risk Management

- 14 In compliance with the Council’s risk management strategy there is low risk associated with the recommendations in this report.

Contact Details

Author:

Sue Gill

Traffic Projects Officer,
Traffic Management
Tel No. 01904 551497
sue.gill@york.gov.uk

Chief Officer Responsible for the report:

James Gilchrist
Assistant Director: Transport, Highways
& Environment (Economy & Place)

Report

Approved



Date 09.07.20

Wards Affected (as detailed on the Annexes)

15

For further information please contact the author of the report

Background Papers: N/A

Annexes:

Annex A: Acomb Ward

Annex B: Clifton Ward

Annex B2 (A): Representation received from St Luke's Church

Annex C: Dringhouses and Woodthorpe Ward

Annex C3 (A): Detailed representations received for Moorcroft Road

Annex C4 (A): Detailed representations received for North Lane, Dringhouses

Annex D: Fishergate Road Ward

Annex E: Fulford & Heslington Ward

Annex F: Guildhall Ward

Annex G: Haxby & Wigginton Ward

Annex H: Heworth Without Ward

Annex I: Holgate Ward

Annex J: Hull Road Ward

Annex K: Micklegate Ward

Annex K2: Detailed representations received for Mount Vale proposal

Annex L: Osbaldwick & Derwent Ward

Annex M: Rawcliffe & Clifton Without Ward

Annex N: Strensall Ward

Annex O: Wheldrake Ward