

**Decision Session – Executive Member
for Transport and Planning**

13 July 2017

Report of the Corporate Director of Economy and Place

Clifton Dale Residents Parking Petition:

Summary

1. To report the receipt of a petition and determine what action is appropriate.

Recommendation

2. It is recommended that:
 - Option 3 - That the area is added to the Residents parking waiting list and an investigation carried out when it reaches the top of the list.

Reason: Because this will respond to residents concerns in the order they are raised and can be progressed depending on funding available each year.

- A strategic review of Residents Parking policy is undertaken

Reason: To provide a more strategic and effective response to residents parking concerns in the city.

Background

3. There are 21 signatures on the petition from Clifton Dale residents, this includes two properties on Clifton Green, requesting that the

council should explore the possibility of introducing a Residents Priority parking scheme due to Clifton Dale being one of the few streets in proximity to the city centre which has unrestricted and free parking. Residents feel that the amount of commuter parking taking place has an adverse effect on the householders and character of Clifton Dale.

4. 17 of the signatories are 'for residents parking' or 'unsure until viewed parking proposal'. The petition front sheet is shown in Annex A and Annex B shows the location of Clifton Dale and Clifton Green.
5. Requests have previously been received from Compton Street to introduce residents parking however no formal petition was gathered. It is therefore advisable to consult on the wider area at the same time to gather resident's views.
6. There has been a flurry of interest from several locations in the city in becoming part of a residents parking zone in the last 12 to 18 months and we are currently progressing 5 schemes. This increase in demand has resulted in a waiting list (see Annex C) for investigating new requests. Each request will be investigated in the order the request was made and will be dependant on funding availability. Owing to current workloads it is currently anticipated that it would not be possible to commence the investigation until early 2018. The number of recent Resident Parking requests indicates that a wider scale review of the provision of Residents Parking in the city is warranted. It is therefore proposed that Officers investigate the development of a strategic Residents Parking policy for the city.
7. The process and likely timescales for investigating and implementing a scheme is also outlined on the waiting list in Annex C. In the event of additional petitions being received from adjacent streets then they would be grouped together in the investigation and consultation in order to better represent the views of the wider community.

Options for Consideration

8. Option 1 – Note the petition but take no action. This is not the recommended action because it does not address the residents concerns.
9. Option 2 – Approve the initial consultation. This is not the recommended action because there are insufficient resources available to carry out this work at the present time and there are other requests that came in before this one that should be tackled first.
10. Option 3 – Give approval to progress an investigation when the area reaches the top of the waiting list along with the option of widening the consultation depending on circumstances at the time. This is the recommended option.

Consultation

11. At this stage there is no consultation but when the area reaches the top of the waiting list there will be a 2 stage consultation process. Firstly, information on how a scheme operates is sent out to all properties together with a questionnaire, the results of which are reported back to a Executive Member meeting for a decision on how to proceed.
12. If approval to proceed is granted then the formal legal Traffic Regulation Order consultation is carried out.

Council Plan

13. The above proposal contributes to the City Council's draft Council Plan of:
 - A prosperous city for all,
 - A council that listens to residents

Implications

14. This report has the following implications:

Financial – None.

Human Resources – None

Equalities – None.

Legal – before a residents parking scheme can be implemented the correct legal procedure has to be gone through.

Crime and Disorder – None

Information Technology – None

Land – None

Other – None

Risk Management

15. . None.

Contact Details

Authors:

Name: Annemarie Howarth
Job title: Traffic Projects
Officer
Dept. Transport
Tel: (01904) 551337

Chief Officer Responsible for the report:

Neil Ferris
Corporate Director of Economy and Place

Report **Date** 30/06/17
Approved ✓

Specialist Implications Officer(s)

None.

Wards Affected: Clifton

All

For further information please contact the author of the report.

Background Papers: None.

Annexes:

Annex A Petition letter

Annex B Location plan

Annex C Residents parking waiting list