

**Decision Session - Executive Member for  
Transport and Planning**

**22 June 2017**

Report of the Corporate Director of Economy and Place

**Consideration of results from the consultations in various areas  
following petitions received requesting Residents' Priority Parking**

**Residents Parking Consultations:**

**Summary**

1. To report the consultation results for four different areas undertaken between February and April and to determine what action is appropriate.

**Recommendation**

2. It is recommended that we advertise an amendment to the York Parking, Stopping and Waiting Traffic Regulation Order to introduce Residents' Priority Parking Areas for the following:
  - South Bank Avenue - Option 1
  - St Aubyn's Place – Option 1
  - Beresford Terrace area – Option 1
  - St Peter's Quarter, Martins Court and Carleton Street – Option 1

Reason: To progress the majority views of the residents consulted.

**Background**

3. Petitions were received from the above areas. These were reported to the Executive Member for Transport & Planning at a public decision session on 10<sup>th</sup> November 2016 with the exception of St Aubyn's Place. The Executive Member requested we undertake formal consultation to ascertain the level of support. The petition for St Aubyn's Place was reported to the Corporate Director of Economy and Place on 27<sup>th</sup> February 2017. Both reports and decision notices are available to view on the website.

#### 4. **South Bank Avenue**

5. Permission was granted to consult with the whole of South Bank Avenue after a petition was received from properties located between Bishopthorpe Road and Trafalgar Street representing half of the street.
6. The area around Bishopthorpe Road as a whole is currently receiving an increasing volume of commuter parking as such more requests for Residents Priority parking are being received. Although the top of South Bank Avenue may not currently have as much of an issue with parking problems if only part of South Bank Avenue is included within a proposed new scheme we can reasonably expect that some of the existing on street non residential parking will relocate to the top of the street.
7. Residents only parking was also introduced on Nunthorpe Grove, which adjoins South Bank Avenue, in 2016 - this has reduced the available on street parking in the area and may have contributed to parking relocating to South Bank Avenue. As such it may be acceptable to extend the existing R57 zone to give residents greater flexibility in parking on street. This new larger zone would then need to be converted to community parking to allow the local businesses to purchase permits for the area.

#### 8. **St Aubyn's Place:**

9. The petition requesting Residents' Priority Parking contained signatures from 22 of the 25 properties on St Aubyn's Place.
10. Reported problems include an increasing influx of non-residential parking associated with commuters, nearby Hotel guests and events at the Racecourse. This has resulted in obstruction of footways and access to driveways. The refuse wagon has not been able to obtain access on several occasions because of inconsiderate parking on both sides of the carriageway creating narrow chicanes.

#### 11. **Beresford Terrace area**

12. The whole area, as annexed in C1 consultation documents, has been consulted on the introduction of residents Priority parking, this was after two petitions were received from separate streets.
13. The area to the North of Butcher Terrace had a Residents only parking scheme introduced in 2016. This was after a high volume of commuter parking made it difficult for residents to park on street. Some of the commuter parking has now relocated along Bishopthorpe Road into the surrounding streets.

14. It has been noted that non-residential parking taking place includes visitors to Rowntree Park and for access across the Millennium Bridge. This is increased in summer months with the added disadvantage of parking for York Racecourse.
15. If a new scheme is introduced it could be beneficial to all residents to extend the existing R58C zone to create a large area to enable greater flexibility and availability for all residents.
16. **Phoenix Boulevard (St Peter's Quarter Development)**
17. The petition requesting Residents' Priority Parking contained 116 signatures from residents of 107 properties out of the 258 properties in this development area.
18. The developer and managing agent arranged private parking enforcement before highway adoption took place. The Residents' Association are keen to ensure protection from non-residential parking continues due to the proximity of the development to the city centre, railway station and the National Railway Museum.
19. The opportunities for parking on-street without obstructing access to the private parking areas, or parking on corners obstructing forward visibility are limited. Many residents have requested these areas are protected with waiting restrictions from the outset. We are proposing some waiting restrictions at the entrance to the estate, but would prefer to leave the rest of the estate unrestricted to give the residents as much flexibility as possible. If parking patterns become established which create obstruction on a regular basis, we may have to propose additional waiting restrictions in the future.
20. The consultation area was extended to include Martins Court and Carleton Street properties as the streets most likely to be disadvantaged by the introduction of a scheme on a neighbouring development. These areas have not demonstrated sufficient support to be included within any proposed scheme at this time.
21. **Options for Consideration**
22. **South Bank Avenue**

**Summary of Results of the Consultation for South Bank Avenue: full details are given at Annex A2**

We consulted with 90 properties within the proposed extended area including properties on South Bank Avenue and Bishopthorpe Road  
53 Properties responded (62%).

Of these:

32 (60%) supported the introduction of a Resident Parking Scheme

21 (40%) did not support the introduction of a Resident Parking Scheme

### 23. Option 1

Advertise an amendment to the Traffic Regulation Order to extend the existing R57 residents priority parking scheme to include No's 1 – 108 South Bank Avenue, 64 Nunthorpe Grove and 147 – 149 Bishopthorpe Road, to create a new larger zone boundary as per plan in Annex A1(A) in addition convert the whole zone to Community – R57C, this enables businesses to purchase permits for the zone.

This is the recommended option because it reflects the majority view of all residents consulted as a whole and removes the likelihood of problems relocating further up South Bank Avenue.

The normal criteria of 50% return, with the majority of returns in favour has not been achieved from the businesses and residents on Bishopthorpe Road. They have been included within the zone in order to preserve their current parking opportunities on South Bank Avenue should a scheme be implemented.

The legal procedure provides an additional consultation period. Any interested party is able to make formal representation to the advertised proposal. The decision to withdraw properties and place a smaller zone boundary could be an option to be considered within this process.

### 24. Option 2

Advertise an amendment to the Traffic Regulation Order to extend the existing R57 residents priority parking scheme to include consulted properties up to Trafalgar Street only, the section of street that the petition represented. In addition convert the zone to Community – R57C, this enables included businesses to purchase permits for the zone.

This is not the recommended action as this does not take in to consideration the ballot results as a whole and would not protect all residents from displaced parking.

### 25. Option 3

Advertise an amendment to the Traffic Regulation Order to include a new Community Residents Priority Parking Scheme for South bank Avenue only.

This is not the recommended option as the existing R57 scheme is greatly under used and would not give South Bank Avenue residents any flexibility on parking in the area.

### 26. Option 4

No further action at this time

This is not the recommended option because this does not take into consideration residents views or the original petition received.

## 27. **St Aubyn's Place**

**Summary of Results of the Consultation for St Aubyn's Place: full details are given at Annex B2**

We consulted with all 25 properties on St Aubyn's Place  
21 Properties responded (84%), 4 (16%) properties did not reply  
Of these:

19 (90%) supported the introduction of a Resident Parking Scheme  
2 (10%) did not support the introduction of a Resident Parking Scheme

## 28. Option 1:

Advertise an amendment to the Traffic Regulation Order to include a Residents' Priority Parking Area for St Aubyn's Place to operate between 9am and 5pm, 7 days a week.

This is the recommended option because it reflects the majority opinion.

## 29. Option 2:

Take no further action at this time.

This is not the recommended option because the majority of residents have supported the introduction of a resident parking scheme.

## 30. **Beresford Terrace area**

**Summary of Results of the Consultation for Beresford Terrace Area: full details are given at Annex C2**

We consulted with 234 properties within the proposed extended area:

130 Properties responded (56%).

Of these:

87(67%) supported the introduction of a Resident Parking Scheme  
43 (33%) did not support the introduction of a Resident Parking Scheme.

31. Option 1

Advertise an amendment to the Traffic Regulation Order to extend the existing R58C residents parking zone to include the whole consultation area. This would create one large zone as per plan in Annex C1(A).

This is the recommended option as it reflects the majority view of all residents consulted as a whole. By introducing one large zone this increases the on street parking available to residents.

32. Option 2

Advertise an amendment to the Traffic Regulation Order to include a new Residents Parking Zone which would include the consulted area, south of Butcher Terrace, only.

33. This is not the recommended option as this removed the flexibility on available parking within predominantly terraced streets.

34. Option 3

Advertise an amendment to the Traffic regulation Order to include a Residents Priority Parking zone, either separate zone or an extension to R58C, which would exclude Butcher Terrace and Finsbury Street leaving both streets unrestricted.

This is not the recommended option as all available spaces on these streets would be inundated with commuter and visitor parking as the only unrestricted streets in the vicinity. Butcher Terrace is the main access to both Rowntree Park and Millennium Bridge which incurs a large volume of cyclists and pedestrians.

35. Option 4

No further action at this time

This is not the recommended option because the majority of residents have supported the introduction of a resident parking scheme.

36. **Phoenix Boulevard**

**Summary of Results of the Consultation for the Phoenix Boulevard Area:**

**Full details are given at Annex D2:**

We consulted with 258 properties within St Peter's Quarter Development 164 Properties responded (64%). Of these:

146 (89%) supported the introduction of a Resident Parking Scheme  
18 (11%) did not support the introduction of a Resident Parking Scheme

We consulted with 98 properties in Martins Court and Carleton Street  
32 Properties responded (33%) Of these:

13 (41%) supported the introduction of a Resident Parking Scheme  
19 (59%) did not support the introduction of a Resident Parking Scheme

### 37. Option 1:

Advertise an amendment to the Traffic Regulation Order to include a Residents Priority Parking Area for the St Peter's Quarter development only.

Martins Court and Carleton Street; No further action at this time. If residents of these streets raise a petition requesting resident parking within 12 months of any implementation of a scheme on St Peter's Quarter we request authorisation to undertake additional consultation at that time with a view to adding them to the same scheme.

Advertise a proposal to include No Waiting at any Time restrictions (double yellow lines) at the entrance to the development and in the fountain turning area as shown on the plan at Annex D4.

This is the recommended option because it reflects the majority view of residents from the streets consulted.

### Option 2:

Advertise an amendment to the Traffic Regulation Order for the full consultation area including Martins Court and Carlisle Street to include the waiting restrictions as detailed in Annex D4

This is not the recommended option because we do not consider we have received adequate support to introduce a scheme on Martins Court and Carlisle Street at this time.

### 38. Option 3:

No further action at this time

This is not the recommended option because the majority of residents on St Peter's Quarter have supported the introduction of a Residents' Priority Parking Area

### 39. **Consultation**

The details of the consultation documentation delivered for all areas is included within this report as, Annex A1, B1, C1, D1 and E1

If approval to proceed is granted then the formal legal Traffic Regulation Order consultation is carried out.

### 40. **Council Plan**

41. The above proposal contributes to the City Council's draft Council Plan of:

- A prosperous city for all,
- A council that listens to residents

### 42. **Implications**

This report has the following implications:

43. **Financial** – Residents parking schemes are self financing once in operation. The £5k allocated within the core transport budget will be used to progress the proposed residents parking schemes.

44. **Human Resources** – None

45. **Equalities** – None.

46. **Legal** – The proposals require amendments to the York Parking, Stopping and Waiting Traffic Regulation Order 2014:

Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 & the Local Authorities Traffic Orders (procedure) (England & Wales) Regulations 1996 apply.

**Crime and Disorder** – None

**Information Technology** - None

**Land** – None

**Other** – None

### **Risk Management**

There is an acceptable level of risk associated with the recommended option.



## Contact Details

### Authors:

Name Alistair Briggs  
Job title Traffic Network  
Manager  
Dept. Transport  
Tel: (01904) 551368

### Chief Officer Responsible for the report:

Neil Ferris  
Corporate Director: Economy & Place

### Date:

14/05/17

Report Approved  Date 12/06/17

Wards Affected: Holgate and Micklegate

All

For further information please contact the author of the report.

Background Papers: None.

### Annexes:

#### Annex A: South Bank Avenue

- A1 Consultation documentation package
- A1 (A) Plan of proposed extended R57 boundary
- A2 Consultation results
- A3 Précis of comments received

#### Annex B: St Aubyn's Place

- B1 Consultation documentation package
- B2 Consultation results
- B3 Précis of comments received

#### Annex C: Beresford Terrace Area

- C1 Consultation documents to all properties within proposed new boundary
- C1 (A) Plan of proposed extended R58 boundary
- C2 Consultation results
- C3 Précis of comments received

#### Annex D: St Peters Quarter (including Martins Court and Carleton Street)

- D1(A) Consultation documentation issued to St Peter's Quarter(excluding plan)
- D1(B) Consultation documentation issued to Martins Court and Carleton Street
- D1(C) Plan issued with consultation documentation
- D2 Consultation results
- D3 Précis of comments received
- D4 Plan of Recommended Option: (boundary and waiting restrictions)