# DIRECTORATE OF CITY and ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES

### REQUEST FOR DECISION

## **Guildhall Ward Traffic Regulation Order Objections**

## **Decision Requested**

To overturn, uphold, or amend, as appropriate, the objections made to a proposed amendment to the Traffic Regulation Order located within Guildhall Ward.

#### Reason

To resolve the issues brought forward during the consultation period and complete the process.

### **Background Information**

The land upon which the former Minster Engineering works has been developed for residential purposes with 19 dwellings and 38 flats. Not all properties have private parking or limited parking of one space and many residents have more than one vehicle with no access to nearby parking amenities. Residents also report parking by non-residents who work at the hospital or in the city centre.

Planning permission 03/03698/FUL, included a condition that the property would be excluded from the ResPark zone. This was done in 2008 before the development was occupied. The section 106 agreement provides £5000 for this purpose as well as any other highway works that become necessary. The developer (Barratt) has agreed that these works can be completed prior to full adoption.

Details of the proposal for additional no waiting at any time restrictions on Gladstone Street and Dennison Street is included as Annex A. Photographs demonstrating inconsiderate parking within this development are included as Annex B.

#### **Consultation Process**

The proposals were advertised in the local press, notices put on street and details sent to the properties on both streets giving 3 weeks for interested parties to make representation. A précis of the representations made are in Annex C along with officer's comments and recommendations.

## **Statutory Powers**

Road Traffic Regulation Act Sections 1,2,3, 32, 35 and 37

Ward Members and Political Party Views					
See Annex D					
Financial Programme Implications					
Tillancial Togramme implications					

The approximate cost to complete this project will be in the region of £500 for advertising (providing it is batched together with other similar items, otherwise approximately £1000) and £400 for the lining works and this will be funded from monies set aside within the section 106 agreement.

## **Options**

The options available are:

- A. Uphold the objection and take no further action
- B. Uphold the objections in part and place a lesser restriction than advertised
- C. Overrule the objections and implement restrictions as advertised.

#### **Level of Risk**

1-3 Acceptable	✓	16-20 Action Plan	
4-8 Regular Monitoring		21-25 Registered as a	
		corporate risk	
9-15 Constant Monitoring			

#### **Internal Consultation**

This area is already included in the rounds made by the Civil Enforcement Officers.

There are no legal, financial, sustainability, equalities, property, crime and disorder or other implications.

Implementation Status

#### Recommendations

Option C, Implement as advertised (see Annex A for further information)

Contact Details

Author: Manager Responsible for the Report:

Sue Gill Alistair Briggs

Traffic Technician Traffic Management Leader

Traffic Management Tel No. (55)1497

Report Approved	✓	Date	31/10/2013
-----------------	---	------	------------

Wards Affected: Guildhall

For further information please contact the author of the report

## **Ward Members and Political Party Comments**

## **Ward Councillors Comments**

Councillor B Watson - No Comments Received

Councillor J Looker - No Comments Received

## **Political Party Comments**

Councillor D Merrett - No Comments Received

Councillor J Galvin - No Comments Received

Councillor A Reid -

I have no objection to implementing the proposals.

Ann Reid

Cllr Ann Reid

Councillor A D'Agorne - No Comments Received