## Prudential Indicators 2013/14 Mid Year Review

	Prudential Indicator		<b>2013/14</b> actual	2014/15 projection	2015/16 projection	2016/17 projection	2017/18 projection
1	Capital Expenditure						
	To allow the authority to plan for	GF	£55.6m	£36.8m	£28.6m	£19.1m	£15.4m
	capital financing as a result of						
	the capital programme and	HRA	£17.0m	£8.5m	£8.7m	£8.7m	£7.2m
	enable the monitoring of capital budgets.	Total	£72.6m	£45.3m	£37.3m	£27.8m	£22.6m
2	Ratio of financing costs to net	TOLAI	272.011	243.511	237.511	227.011	222.011
2	revenue stream						
	An estimate of the cost of	GF	10.66%	11.08%	10.13%	11 100/	11.27%
	borrowing in relation to the net cost of Council services to be	GF	10.00%	11.00%	10.13%	11.19%	11.27%
		HRA	14.26%	13.66%	12.74%	12.47%	12.21%
	met from government grant and		112070	1010070	1211 170	,	1212170
	council taxpayers. In the case of the HRA the net revenue stream	Total	11.39%	11.72%	10.79%	11.55%	11.48%
	is the income from rents.						
3a	Incremental impact of capital	ı					
	investment decisions –	Council Tax per m					
	Council Tax	ах					
	Shows the actual impact of	Π					
	capital investment decisions on	Inc					
	council tax. The impact on council tax is a fundamental	Sol					
	indicator of affordability for the	DN	£27.34	£14.05	£4.26	£0.00	£0.00
	Council to consider when setting	band anr	227.34	214.00	27.20	20.00	20.00
	forward plans. The figure relates	bai					
	to how much of the increase in	Ľ.					
	council tax is used in financing	ase					
	the capital programme and any	Increase in					
	related revenue implications that	Inc					
3b	flow from it. Incremental impact of capital						
30	investment decisions –						
	Housing Rents						
	Shows the actual impact of						
	capital investment decisions on		£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00	£0.00
	HRA rent. For CYC, the HRA		20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00
	planned capital spend is based						
	on the government's approved						
	borrowing limit so there is no impact on HRA rents.						
4	CFR as at Mid Year Review						
	Indicates the Council's						
	underlying need to borrow						
	money for capital purposes. The	GF	£183.5m	£187.7m	£187.5m	£186.0m	£181.2m
	majority of the capital		0140.0	64.40.0	C1 40 0	64.40.0	C1 10 0
	programme is funded through	HRA	£140.3m	£140.3m	£140.3m	£140.3m	£140.3m
	government support, government grant or the use of	Total	£323.8m	£328.0m	£327.8m	£326.3m	£321.5m
	capital receipts. The use of	i otai	2020.000	2020.000	2027.000	2020.011	2021.000
	borrowing increases the CFR.						
5	External Debt						
	To ensure that borrowing levels						
	are prudent over the medium						

					Annex A			
	Prudential Indicator		2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	
			actual	projection	projection	projection	projection	
	term the Council's external	Gross	0000 7	0000 5	0000 4	0000 0	0000 4	
	borrowing, net of investments,	Debt	£263.7m	£283.5m	£303.4m	£328.2m	£328.1m	
	must only be for a capital purpose and so not exceed the	Invest	£60.0m	£30.0m	£25.0m	£25.0m	£25.0m	
	CFR.	mvest	200.011	230.011	£25.011	£25.011	£20.011	
	or n.	Net						
		Debt	£203.7m	£253.5m	£278.4m	£303.2m	£303.1m	
6a	Authorised Limit for External	al						
	Debt	Tot						
	The authorised limit is a level	, S						
	set above the operational boundary in acceptance that the	litie						
	operational boundary may well	abi						
	be breached because of cash	л Ц	£342.3m	£342.9m	£342.9m	£342.2m	£341.0m	
	flows. It represents an absolute	erc	201210111	201210111	201210111	~	201110111	
	maximum level of debt that	1g t	£20.0m	£20.0m	£20.0m	£20.0m	£20.0m	
	could be sustained for only a	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3						
	short period of time. The	Jer	£362.3m	£362.9m	£362.9m	£362.2m	£361.0m	
	council sets an operational	Gŧ						
	boundary for its total external	- DC						
	debt, gross of investments,	wir						
	separately identifying borrowing from other long-term liabilities							
	for 3 financial years.	Bo						
6b	Operational Boundary for							
0.0	external debt							
	The operational boundary is a	tal						
	measure of the most likely,	liabilities Total						
	prudent, level of debt. It takes	es						
	account of risk management	iliti						
	and analysis to arrive at the	iab						
	maximum level of debt projected		£332.9m	£332.9m	£332.9m	£332.9m	£331.0m	
	as part of this prudent assessment. It is a means by	ter						
	which the authority manages its	bu	£20m	£20m	£20m	£20m	£20m	
	external debt to ensure that it	3orrowing Other long term				0050.0		
	remains within the self-imposed	hei	£352.9m	£352.9m	£352.9m	£352.9m	£351.0m	
	authority limit. It is a direct link	ð						
	between the Council's plans for	bu						
	capital expenditure; our	İM						
	estimates of the capital	orre						
	financing requirement; and	ă						
	estimated operational cash flow							
7	for the year. Adoption of the CIPFA Code							
<b>'</b>	of Practice for Treasury							
	Management in Public		$\checkmark$					
	Services							
8a	Upper limit for fixed interest							
Ja	rate exposure							
	The Council sets limits to its							
	exposures to the effects of							
	changes in interest rates for 3							
	years. The Council should not							
	be overly exposed to		130%	112%	109%	108%	108%	

						Annex A		
	Prudential Indicator		2013/14	2014/15	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	
			actual	projection	projection	projection	projection	
	fluctuations in interest rates							
	which can have an adverse							
	impact on the revenue budget if							
	it is overly exposed to variable							
	rate investments or debts.							
8b	Upper limit for variable rate							
	exposure The Council sets limits to its							
	exposures to the effects of							
	changes in interest rates for 3							
	years. The Council should not							
	be overly exposed to		-30%	-12%	-9%	-8%	-8%	
	fluctuations in interest rates							
	which can have an adverse							
	impact on the revenue budget if							
	it is overly exposed to variable							
	rate investments or debts.							
9	Upper limit for total principal							
	sums invested for over 364							
	days							
	The Council sets an upper limit							
	for each forward financial year							
	period for the level of							
	investments that mature in over		£0	£0	£0	£0	£0	
	364 days. These limits reduce the liquidity and interest rate risk							
	associated with investing for							
	more than one year. The limits							
	are set as a percentage of the							
	average balances of the							
	investment portfolio.							
10	Maturity structure of new		Maturity			Approved	Approved	
	<b>fixed rate borrowing</b> To minimise the impact of debt maturity on the cash flow of the		Profile	Debt (£)	Debt (£)	Minimum	Maximum	
		nst				Limit	Limit	
		gai	Less than	CO Em	40/	00/	200/	
	Council. Over exposure to debt maturity in any one year could	sta	i yr	1 yr £9.5m	4%	0%	30%	
	mean that the Council has	Maturity profile of debt against approved limits	1 to 2 yrs	£5.0m	2%	0%	30%	
	insufficient liquidity to meet its		1 to 2 yrs	23.011	2 /0	0 70	50 /0	
	repayment liabilities, and as a		2 to 5 yrs	£14.0m	5%	0%	40%	
	result could be exposed to risk	ofil oro	2 10 0 910	~ 11.0111	0,0	070	1070	
	of interest rate fluctuations in the	rity pr app	5 to 10 yrs	£37.7m	15%	0%	40%	
	future where loans are maturing.		- , -					
	The Council therefore sets limits	atu	10 yrs and					
	whereby long-term loans mature	Σ	above	£192.4m	74%	30%	90%	
1	in different periods thus							
	spreading the risk.		Total	£258.6m	100%	-	-	